Royal College of Occupational Therapists

Research Priority Grant 2024: Reviewer's feedback form

Project title:	
Reviewer's name:	
Declaration of conflict of interest (if any)	

For each of the following sections, please tick the most appropriate box and give comments

1. Skills of the research team				
Does the research team demonstrate the skills to complete the project?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

2. Benefit to the professional development of the applicant(s) and other occupational therapists				
Is the scope and ambition of the project appropriate to facilitate the research trajectory of the applicant(s) and build research capacity within the profession through creating opportunities for other occupational therapists?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

3. Fit with the Top 10 priorities for occupational therapy research in the UK				
Does the project clearly address one or more of the Top 10 priorities for occupational therapy research in the UK? (The research priorities can be found here: https://www.rcot.co.uk/top-10.)				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

4. Inclusion of and impact on people who access services, and/or their families or carers				
Does the project have a considered, realistic plan for public and patient involvement in both the design and execution of the research? Does it propose an impact that will benefit people who access services and/or their families and carers?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

5. Equity, diversity and belonging				
Does the proposed project take account of diverse populations, inclusive of socioeconomic groups, and how to include them appropriately in the project?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

6. Methodology				
Is the proposed methodology sound and ethical?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

7. Funding and support				
Does the project appear feasible, offer good value for money, and have appropriate support from the host institution?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

8. Impact and future funding proposal				
Does the project propose high impact for the profession and/or those accessing occupational therapy services and show potential for achieving future funding from the identified external source?				
Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Incomplete (0)
Comments:				

9. Overall recommendation for support		
Highly recommended	Recommended	Not recommended
Additional comments for the RCOT Research Foundation Advisory Group:		
Comment for feedback to the applicant:		

Please provide a final score from questions 1-8.

Total score: _____ /32

CONSENT REQUEST
RCOT would like to keep a record of Research Foundation reviewers so we know who has reviewed an application in recent years. The information recorded would include your name, email address and the title of the application reviewed and would be kept for three years. Please tick this box if you consent for this information about you to be collected: ☐