**Advancing the Evidence Grant 2026: Reviewer’s feedback form**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project title:** |  |
| **Reviewer’s name:** |  |
| **Declaration of conflict of interest (if any):** |  |
| **Date:**  |  |

## For each of the following sections, please tick the most appropriate box and give comments.

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Skills of the research team**
 |
| Does the research team demonstrate they have the skills to complete the project? |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Benefit to the professional development of the applicant(s) and other occupational therapists**
 |
| Is the scope and ambition of the project appropriate to support the research development of the applicant(s) and build research capacity by creating opportunities for other occupational therapists? |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Fit with the top 10 priorities for occupational therapy research in the UK**
 |
| Does the project clearly address one or more of the top 10 priorities for occupational therapy research in the UK? The research priorities can be found [here](https://www.rcot.co.uk/top-10). |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments:  |
| 1. **Inclusion of people who access services and/or their families or carers**
 |
| Does the project include a realistic plan for public involvement and engagement in the design, execution and dissemination of the research?  |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Impact on occupational therapy, people who access services and/or their families or carers**
 |
| Does the project propose high impact for the profession and those accessing occupational therapy services and/or their families and carers? |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Equity, diversity and belonging**
 |
| Does the proposed project take account of diverse populations? Is it inclusive of socioeconomic groups, minoritised and underrepresented people and how to include them appropriately in the project? |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments:  |
| 1. **Methodology**
 |
| Is the proposed methodology sound and ethical?  |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Funding**
 |
| Does the project appear feasible and offer good value for money?  |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Institutional support**
 |
| Does the project have appropriate support from the host institution? |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Future funding proposal**
 |
| Does the project show potential for achieving future funding from the identified external source? |
| Yes (2) | Maybe (1) | No (0) |
| Comments: |
| 1. **Overall recommendation for support**
 |
| Highly recommended  | Recommended  | Not recommended  |
| Additional comments for the RCOT Research and Innovation Fund Panel: |
| Comment for feedback to the applicant: |

**Please provide a final score from questions 1-10.**

**Total score: /20**

**CONSENT REQUEST**

RCOT would like to keep a record of Research and Innovation Fund reviewers so we know who has reviewed an application in recent years. The information recorded would include your name, email address and the title of the application reviewed and would be kept for three years. Please tick this box if you consent for this information about you to be collected: [ ]